

WEIGHTED L^p BOUNDS FOR THE MARCINKIEWICZ INTEGRAL

GUOEN HU AND MENG QU

ABSTRACT. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, have mean value zero and integrable on the unit sphere, and $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ be the higher-dimensional Marcinkiewicz integral associated with Ω . In this paper, the authors proved that if $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$, then for $p \in (q', \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the bound of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$ is less than $C[w]_{A_{p/q'}}^{2\max\{1, \frac{1}{p-q'}\}}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We will work on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, and $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the weight function class of Muckenhoupt, that is,

$$A_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{w \text{ is nonnegative and locally integrable in } \mathbb{R}^n : [w]_{A_p} < \infty\}$$

(see [10, Chapter 9] for the properties of $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$), where and in the following,

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) dx \right)^{p-1},$$

which is called the A_p constant of w . In the remarkable work, Buckley [3] proved that if $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$(1.1) \quad \|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_{n,p} [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

Moreover, the estimate (1.1) is sharp since the exponent $1/(p-1)$ can not be replaced by a smaller one. Since then, the sharp dependence of the weighted estimates of singular integral operators in terms of the $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ constant has been considered by many authors. Petermichl [17, 18] solved this question for Hilbert transform and Riesz transform. Hytönen [11] proved that for a Calderón-Zygmund operator T and $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(1.2) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_n [w]_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

This solved the so-called A_2 conjecture. From the estimate (1.2) and the extrapolation theorem in [8], we know that for a Calderón-Zygmund operator T , $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(1.3) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_{n,p} [w]_{A_p}^{\max\{1, \frac{1}{p-1}\}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Marcinkiewicz integral, weighted estimate, Fourier transform, approximation, sparse operator.

The research of the first (corresponding) author was supported the NNSF of China under grant #11371370, the research of the second author was supported the NNSF of China under grant #11471033.

In [15], Lerner gave a very simple proof of (1.3) by controlling the Calderón-Zygmund operator using sparse operators.

Fairly recently, Hytönen, Roncal and Tapiola [14] considered the weighted bounds of rough homogeneous singular integral operator defined by

$$T_\Omega f(x) = \text{p. v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(y')}{|y|^n} f(x-y) dy = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ R \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{\epsilon < |x-y| < R} \frac{\Omega(y')}{|y|^n} f(x-y) dy,$$

where Ω is homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on the unit sphere S^{n-1} and has mean value zero. By a quantitative weighted estimate for the ω -Calderón-Zygmund operator, approximation to the identity and interpolation, Hytönen, Roncal and Tapiola (see Theorem 1.4 in [14]) proved that

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$(1.4) \quad \|T_\Omega f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(S^{n-1})} \{w\}_{A_p}(w)_{A_p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)},$$

where and in the following, for $p, r \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\{w\}_{A_p, r} = [w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{r}} \max\{[w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{r}}, [w^{1-p'}]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{r}}\},$$

and

$$(w)_{A_p} = \max\{[w]_{A_\infty}, [w^{1-p'}]_{A_\infty}\}.$$

Now we consider the Marcinkiewicz integral operator. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable and have mean value zero on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . Define the Marcinkiewicz integral operator $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{M}_\Omega(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_{\Omega, t} f(x)|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3} \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$F_{\Omega, t} f(x) = \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Stein [19] proved that if $\Omega \in \text{Lip}_\gamma(S^{n-1})$ with $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, then $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in (1, 2]$. Benedek, Calderón and Panzon showed that the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness ($p \in (1, \infty)$) of $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ holds true under the condition that $\Omega \in C^1(S^{n-1})$. Walsh [21] proved that for each $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{1/r} (\ln \ln L)^{2(1-2/r')}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $r = \min\{p, p'\}$ and $p' = p/(p-1)$. Ding, Fan and Pan [5] proved that if $\Omega \in H^1(S^{n-1})$ (the Hardy space on S^{n-1}), then $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$; Al-Salmam et al. [2] proved that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{1/2}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. Ding, Fan and Pan [6] considered the boundedness on weighted $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$. For other works about the operator $\mathcal{M}_\Omega$, see [1, 4, 5, 7] and the related references therein.

The purpose of this paper is to establish an analogy of (1.4) for the Marcinkiewicz integral operator with kernel $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$. We remark that in this paper, we are very much motivated by [14], some ideas are from Lerner's recently paper [16]. Our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, have mean value zero on S^{n-1} , and $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$. Let $p \in (q', \infty)$ and $w \in A_{p/q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\Omega f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^q(S^{n-1})} \{w\}_{A_{p/q'}, p}(w)_{A_{p/q'}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

In particular,

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\Omega f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^q(S^{n-1})} [w]_{A_{p/q'}}^{2 \max\{1, \frac{1}{p-q'}\}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

We make some conventions. In what follows, C always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol $A \lesssim B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, χ_E denotes its characteristic function.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Recall that the standard dyadic grid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ consists of all cubes of the form

$$2^{-k}([0, 1]^n + j), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Denote the standard grid by $\mathcal{D}$.

As usual, by a general dyadic grid $\mathcal{D}$, we mean a collection of cube with the following properties: (i) for any cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, its side length $\ell(Q)$ is of the form 2^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; (ii) for any cubes $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \in \{Q_1, Q_2, \emptyset\}$; (iii) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cubes of side length 2^k form a partition of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be a family of cubes. We say that $\mathcal{S}$ is η -sparse, if for each fixed $Q \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a measurable subset $E_Q \subset Q$, such that $|E_Q| \geq \eta|Q|$ and $\{E_Q\}$ are pairwise disjoint. Associated with the sparse family $\mathcal{S}$, we define the sparse operator $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{S}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{S} f(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \langle f \rangle_Q \chi_Q(x),$$

where and in the following, $\langle f \rangle_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) dy$. For $r \in (0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{S}^r$ be the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{S}^r f(x) = \left\{ \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} (\langle f \rangle_Q)^r \chi_Q(x) \right\}^{1/r},$$

The following result was proved by Hytönen and Lacey [12], see also Hytönen and Li [13].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $r \in (0, p)$, $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for a sparse family $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{D}$ a dyadic grid,*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{S}^r f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{1/p} ([w]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}} + [w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_\infty}^{\frac{1}{p}}) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on S^{n-1} . For $t \in [1, 2]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$(2.1) \quad K_t^j(x) = \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j-1}t < |x| \leq 2^j t\}}(x).$$

As it was proved in [9], if $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$, then there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $t \in [1, 2]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(2.2) \quad |\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^q(S^{n-1})} \min\{1, |2^j \xi|^{-\alpha}\}.$$

Here and in the following for $h \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widehat{h}$ denotes the Fourier transform of h . Moreover, if $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') dx' = 0$, then

$$(2.3) \quad |\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \min\{1, |2^j \xi|\}.$$

In the following, we assume that $\|\Omega\|_{L^q(S^{n-1})} = 1$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_j f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

with

$$F_j f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

A trivial computation leads to that

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{M}_\Omega f(x) \approx \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega f(x).$$

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nonnegative function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$, $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{x : |x| \leq 1/4\}$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\phi_l(y) = 2^{-nl} \phi(2^{-l}y)$. It is easy to verify that for any $\varsigma \in (0, 1)$,

$$(2.5) \quad |\widehat{\phi}_l(\xi) - 1| \lesssim \min\{1, |2^l \xi|^\varsigma\}.$$

Let

$$F_j^l f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x - y) f(y) dy.$$

Define the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l$ by

$$(2.6) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Fourier transform estimates (2.2) and (2.5), and Plancherel's theorem, we have that for some positive constant θ depending only on n ,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &= \int_1^2 \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_l f(\cdot, t) - F_j^l f(\cdot, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dt \\ &= \int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\widehat{K}_t^j(\xi)|^2 |1 - \widehat{\phi_{j-l}}(\xi)|^2 |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dt \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2\theta l} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and belong to $L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$, K_t^j be defined as in (2.1). Then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $R > 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y| < R/4$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{2^k R < |x| \leq 2^{k+1} R} \sup_{t \in [1, 2]} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x + y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{(2^k R)^{n/q'}} \min\{1, 2^l \frac{|y|}{2^k R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will employ the idea from [22]. It is obvious that

$$\|\phi_{j-l}(\cdot + y) - \phi_{j-l}(\cdot)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{(l-j)n/q} \min\{1, 2^{l-j}|y|\}.$$

Observe that

$$\sup_{t \in [1, 2]} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x + y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{K}^j(z) |\phi_{j-l}(x + y - z) - \phi_{j-l}(x - z)| dz,$$

with $\tilde{K}^j(z) = |z|^{-n} |\Omega(z)| \chi_{\{2^{j-2} \leq |z| \leq 2^{j+2}\}}(z)$. Thus, by the fact $\text{supp } K_t^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{j-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{j+2}\}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{2^k R < |x| \leq 2^{k+1} R} \sup_{t \in [1, 2]} \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x) \right|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} : 2^j \approx 2^k R} \|\tilde{K}^j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_{j-l}(\cdot + y) - \phi_{j-l}(\cdot)\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim 2^{jn/q'} \min\{1, 2^l \frac{|y|}{2^k R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero. Suppose that $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$. Then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l$ is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound $C_{n,p} l$.*

Proof. The proof is fairly standard. For the sake of self-contained, we present the proof here. Our goal is to show that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$(2.8) \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim l \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For each fixed $\lambda > 0$, applying the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to $|f|$ at level λ , we obtain a sequence of cubes $\{Q_i\}$ with disjoint interiors, such that

$$\lambda < \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \int_{Q_i} |f(y)| dy \leq 2^n \lambda,$$

and $|f(y)| \lesssim \lambda$ for a. e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\cup_i Q_i)$. Set

$$g(y) = f(y) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_i Q_i}(y) + \sum_i \langle f \rangle_{Q_i} \chi_{Q_i}(y),$$

$$b(y) = \sum_i b_i(y), \text{ with } b_i(y) = (b_i(y) - \langle f \rangle_{Q_i}) \chi_{Q_i}(y).$$

By (2.7) and the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega$, we know that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l$ is also bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound independent of l . Therefore,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l g(x) > \lambda/2\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-2} \|\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let $E_\lambda = \cup_i 4nQ_i$. It is obvious that $|E_\lambda| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. The proof of (2.8) is now reduced to proving that

$$(2.9) \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_\lambda : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l b(x) > \lambda/2\}| \lesssim l \lambda^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We now prove (2.9). For each fixed cube Q_i , let y_i be the center of Q_i . For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set

$$S_t^{j,l}(x; y, z) = |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-z)|.$$

A trivial computation involving Minkowski's inequality and vanishing moment of b_i gives us that for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l b(x) &\leq \sum_i \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_t^{j,l}(x; y, y_i) |b_i(y)| dy \right)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_i \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_1^2 \{S_t^{j,l}(x; y, y_i)\}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |b_i(y)| dy \\ &\leq \sum_i \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} S_t^{j,l}(x; y, y_i) |b_i(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we get from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_\lambda} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} S_t^{j,l}(x; y, y_i) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^l \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{k+2}nQ_i \setminus 2^{k+1}nQ_i} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} S_t^{j,l}(x; y, y_i) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=l+1}^\infty \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^{k+2}nQ_i \setminus 2^{k+1}nQ_i} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} S_t^{j,l}(x; y, y_i) dx \lesssim l. \end{aligned}$$

This, in turn leads to that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_\lambda} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l b(x) dx &\leq \sum_i \sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E_\lambda} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} S_t^{j,l}(x; y, y_i) dx |b_i(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim l \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (2.9) now follows directly. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let T be a sublinear operator. Set*

$$T^* f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \sup_{\xi \in Q} |T(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi)|.$$

Suppose that for $1 \leq s \leq r < \infty$, T is bounded from $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{s,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and T^* is bounded from $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, for every compactly supported function $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists σ -sparse families $\mathcal{S}_j \subset \mathcal{D}_j$ with $\mathcal{D}_j$ general dyadic grid and $j = 1, \dots, 3^n$, such that for a. e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(2.10) \quad |Tf(x)| \leq C_{n,s,r} (\|T\|_{L^s \rightarrow L^{s,\infty}} + \|T^*\|_{L^r \rightarrow L^{r,\infty}}) \sum_{j=1}^{3^n} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}_j, r}(|f|)(x),$$

where $\sigma = \sigma_n \in (0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}, r} f(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \langle |f|^r \rangle_Q^{\frac{1}{r}} \chi_Q(x)$.

For the proof of Lemma 2.4, see Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.3 in [16].

Lemma 2.5. *Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero. Suppose that $\Omega \in L^q(S^{n-1})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty]$. If $p \in (q', \infty]$ and $w \in A_{p/q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim l \{w\}_{A_{p/q'}, p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

Proof. For $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0}$ be the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \leq j_0} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

We claim that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$(2.11) \quad \|\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim l \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To this aim, we first note that if $\text{supp } f \subset Q$ for a cube Q having side length 2^{j_0} , then $\text{supp } \mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f \subset 20\sqrt{n}Q$. On the other hand, if $\{Q_k\}_k$ is a sequence of cubes with disjoint interiors and having side length 2^{j_0} , then the cubes $\{20\sqrt{n}Q_k\}_k$ have bounded overlaps. Thus, we may assume that $\text{supp } f \subset Q$ with Q a cube having side length 2^{j_0} . For such a $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see that if $x \in 20\sqrt{n}Q$, then

$$\left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j > j_0 + 20n} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = 0.$$

Therefore, for $x \in 20\sqrt{n}Q$,

$$\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0} f(x) \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) + \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j_0 < j < j_0 + 20n} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) + M_\Omega M f(x),$$

where and in the following, M_Ω is the maximal operator defined by

$$M_\Omega h(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |\Omega(x-y)| |h(y)| dy.$$

By the method of rotation of Calderón and Zygmund, we know that M_Ω is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The desired $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ estimate for $\mathcal{N}_\Omega^{l, j_0}$ then follows directly.

We now prove that the operator

$$S^l f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \sup_{\xi \in Q} |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi)|$$

is bounded from $L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{q', \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound Cl . In fact, let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and $x, \xi \in Q$, and denote by B_x the closed ball centered at x with radius $2\text{diam } Q$. Then $3Q \subset B_x$, and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 3Q})(\xi)| &\leq |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})(\xi) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})(x)| \\ &\quad + |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{B_x \setminus 3Q})(\xi)| + |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})(\xi) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})(x)| \\ &\leq \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R_t^{j, l}(x; y, \xi) f(y) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x}(y) dy \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$R_t^{j, l}(x; y, \xi) = |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(\xi-y)|.$$

A trivial computation involving Hölder's inequality gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} R_t^{j,l}(x; y, \xi) f(y) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x}(y) dy \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_j \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^k B_x \setminus 2^{k-1} B_x} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} |R_t^{j,l}(x; y, \xi)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{2^k B_x} |f(y)|^{q'} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\
 & \lesssim l M_{q'} f(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{q'} f(x) = \{M(|f|^{q'})(x)\}^{1/q'}$. For each fixed $t \in [1, 2]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2^j \approx \text{diam } Q$,

$$(2.13) \quad |F_j^l(f \chi_{B_x \setminus 3Q})(x, t)| \leq \|K_t^j * \phi_{l-j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f \chi_{B_x}\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim M_{q'} f(x).$$

Recall that $\text{supp } K_t^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{j-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{j+2}\}$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad |\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l(f \chi_{B_x \setminus 3Q})(\xi)| &= \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j |F_j^l(f \chi_{B_x \setminus 3Q})(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{j: 2^j \approx \text{diam } Q} \left(\int_1^2 |F_j^l(f \chi_{B_x \setminus 3Q})(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\lesssim M_{q'} f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})$, write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})(x) &\leq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l f(x) + \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_j^l(f \chi_{B_x})(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l f(x) + \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j: 2^j \leq 4 \text{diam } Q} |F_j^l(f \chi_{B_x})(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l f(x) + \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j: 2^j \leq 4 \text{diam } Q} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j: 2^j \leq 4 \text{diam } Q} |F_j^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})(x, t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &=: D_1 f(x) + D_2 f(x) + D_3 f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 and the estimate (2.11) tells us that for any $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|D_1 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|D_2 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim l \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_3 f(x) &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: \text{diam } Q/4 \leq 2^j \leq 4 \text{diam } Q} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_t^j * \phi_{l-j}(x-y)| |f(y)| dy \\
 &\lesssim M_{q'} f(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

By estimates for D_1 , D_2 and D_3 , we obtain that

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_x})\|_{L^{q'}, \infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim l \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This, along with the estimates (2.12) and (2.14), shows that the operator S^l is bounded from $L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{q', \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound Cl .

We now conclude the proof of Lemma 2.5. By Lemma 2.4, we see that for each $f \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, there exists sparse families $\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{3^n}$, such that

$$(2.15) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^l f(x) \lesssim l \sum_{j=1}^{3^n} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}_j, q'} f(x).$$

For each fixed $j = 1, \dots, 3^n$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}_j, q'} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} = \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}_j}^{\frac{1}{q'}}(|f|^{q'})\|_{L^{\frac{p}{q'}}(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}^{\frac{1}{q'}} \lesssim [w]_{A_{p/q', p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

This, via the estimate (2.15) leads to our desired conclusion. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\Omega\|_{L^q(S^{n-1})} = 1$. By (2.7), we know that

$$(2.16) \quad \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^l} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^{l+1}} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\theta 2^l} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and the series

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^{l+1}} - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^l}) + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^2$$

converges in the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ operator norm. Let $p \in (q', \infty)$ and $w \in A_{p/q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by [14, Corollary 3.16 and Corollary 3.17], we know that for $\epsilon = c_n/(w)_{A_{p/q'}}$ with c_n a constant depending only on n , $w^{1+\epsilon} \in A_{p/q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$[w^{1+\epsilon}]_{A_{p/q'}} \lesssim 4[w]_{A_{p/q'}}^{1+\epsilon},$$

and

$$[w^{1+\epsilon}]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [w]_{A_\infty}^{1+\epsilon}, \quad [w^{(1-(\frac{p}{q'})'(1+\epsilon))}]_{A_\infty} \lesssim [w^{1-(\frac{p}{q'})'}]_{A_\infty}^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Therefore,

$$\{w^{1+\epsilon}\}_{A_{p/q', p}} \lesssim \{w\}_{A_{p/q', p}}^{1+\epsilon}.$$

Lemma 2.5 tells us that

$$(2.17) \quad \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^l} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^{l+1}} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w^{1+\epsilon})} \lesssim 2^l \{w^{1+\epsilon}\}_{A_{p/q', p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w^{1+\epsilon})}.$$

On the other hand, by interpolating the estimates (2.16) and (2.17) with $w = 1$, we know that for some $\varrho = \varrho_p \in (0, 1)$,

$$(2.18) \quad \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^l} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^{l+1}} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\varrho 2^l} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By interpolation with changes of measures (see [20]), we deduce from (2.17) and (2.18) that

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^l} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\Omega^{2^{l+1}} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim 2^l 2^{-\varrho \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} 2^l} \{w\}_{A_{p/q', p}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

As in [14], a trivial computation involving the inequality $e^x \geq x^2/2$, now shows that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^l 2^{-\varrho 2^l \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} \lesssim \sum_{l: 2^l \leq \epsilon^{-1}} 2^l + \sum_{l: 2^l > \epsilon^{-1}} 2^l \left(\frac{2^l \epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\right)^{-2} \lesssim (w)_{A_{p/q'}}.$$

We finally get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} &\leq \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^2 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{2^{l+1}} f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{2^l} f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \\ &\lesssim \{w\}_{A_{p/q', p}(w)_{A_{p/q'}}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Salman, On the L^2 boundedness of parametric Marcinkiewicz integral operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 375 (2011) 745-752.
- [2] A. Al-Salman, H. Al-Qassem, L. Cheng and Y. Pan, L^p bounds for the function of Marcinkiewicz, Math. Research Letter **9**(2002), 697-700.
- [3] S. M. Buckley, Estimates for operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 340(1993), 253-272.
- [4] J. Chen, D. Fan and Y. Pan, A note on a Marcinkiewicz integral operator, Math. Nachr. **227** (2001), 33-42.
- [5] Y. Ding, D. Fan and Y. Pan, L^p -boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals with Hardy space function kernel, Acta Math. Sinica (English Ser.) **16** (2000), 593-600.
- [6] Y. Ding, D. Fan and Y. Pan, Weighted boundedness for a class of rough Marcinkiewicz integral, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48(1999), 1037-1055.
- [7] Y. Ding, Q. Xue and K. Yabuta, A remark to the L^2 boundedness of parametric Marcinkiewicz integral, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387(2012), 691-697.
- [8] O. Dragicević, L. Grafakos, M. Pereyra and S. Petermichl, Extrapolation and sharp norm estimates for classical operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces, Publ. Mat. 49(2005), 73-91.
- [9] J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates. Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 541-561.
- [10] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier analysis, GTM250, 2nd Edition, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [11] T. Hytönen, The A_2 theorem: Remarks and complements, Contemp. Math. 612, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2014, 91-106.
- [12] T. Hytönen and M. Lacey, The $A_p - A_{\infty}$ inequality for general Calderón-Zygmund operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 61(2012), 2041-2052.
- [13] T. Hytönen and K. Li, Weak and strong $A_p - A_{\infty}$ estimates for square functions and related operators, Arxiv1509.00273.
- [14] T. Hytönen, L. Roncal and O. Tapiola, Quatitative weighted estimates for rough singular integral integrals, arXiv:1510.05789.
- [15] A. K. Lerner, A simple proof of the A_2 conjecture. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 14(2013), 3159-3170.
- [16] A. K. Lerner, On pointwise estimate involving sparse operator, arXiv: 1512.07247.
- [17] S. Petermichl, The sharp bound for the Hilbert transform on weighted Lebesgue spaces in terms of the classical A_p characteristic, Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), 1355-1375.
- [18] S. Petermichl, The sharp weighted bound for the Riesz transforms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (4) (2008), 1237-1249.
- [19] E. M. Stein, On the function of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 430-466.
- [20] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, Interpolation of operators with changes of measures. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 159-172.
- [21] T. Walsh, On the function of Marcinkiewicz, Studia Math. 44 (1972), 203-217.
- [22] D. K. Watson, Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates. Duke Math. J. **60** (1990), 389-399.

GUOEN HU, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, ZHENGZHOU INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE, ZHENGZHOU 450001, P. R. CHINA

MENG QU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHU 241002, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: guoenxx@163.com, qumeng@mail.ahnu.edu.cn